

## Memorandum

November 26, 2012

To: Metro Council  
From: Bob Stacey  
CC: Sam Chase, Craig Dirksen, Martha Bennett and Randy Tucker  
Subject: Legislative Position on CRC Funding

*Via email*

The agenda for your work session tomorrow includes recommendations from Government Affairs Director Randy Tucker on positions Metro should take in the coming Oregon legislative session. One of those addresses Oregon's share of funding for the CRC project. Because this matter likely will be back before the Council several times in the next six months, I want to share my thoughts with you now.

I've been outspoken in my criticism of the design, scale and likely community impacts of the Columbia River Crossing. This memo is not about that criticism, but about a concern we all share: that Oregon taxpayers not be exposed to avoidable financial risk from this project, and that the project deliver the transportation improvements and mitigation measures that have been promised to Oregonians.

Randy's recommended position statement on CRC funding reads:

"Support a funding approach for Oregon's portion of the CRC that recognizes the statewide importance of the project and does not disproportionately come at the expense of other projects in the region. Support investments as part of the project that mitigate its impact and the impact of the existing freeway on directly affected local communities."

The purpose of this language is to ensure that Oregon's share of CRC funding isn't taken out of the metropolitan area's hide at the expense of other established transportation priorities. That's an important message, but I believe it's not enough.

### **1. Oppose diversion of federal formula funding to CRC**

A little background: The CRC staff estimates the project cost range to be between \$3.1 and \$3.5 billion. The finance plan assumes maximum revenue of just under \$3.5 billion: \$450 million each from the two states, \$850 million from the Federal Transit Administration, \$400 million from federal highway funds, and up to \$1.3 billion from toll revenue. In other words, "Oregon's portion of the CRC" should be \$450 million; and that should come from new revenue, not from existing commitments here or in other parts of the state.



### **b. Additional Washington conditions**

In its CRC tolling legislation, Washington's legislature adopted several other limitations and restrictions on that State's financial participation in the project:

- An overall cap on project cost of \$3,413,000,000 (RCW 47.56.890(2));
- A prohibition on tolling until sufficient federal and state funds are committed to "complete the phase of the Columbia river crossing project that includes the construction of the Columbia river bridge and landings" (2012 Washington acts, ch. 36 sec. 7); and
- A time limit: the tolling legislation expires at the end of 2015 if federal funding is not secured or other conditions are not met (RCW 47.56.892).

Taken together, the conditions Washington has placed on its financial participation in the CRC protect Washington to Oregon's potentially severe financial disadvantage. A project that prioritizes only the freeway bridge and has at best \$800 million in toll revenue will fall far short of capacity to build the \$545 million worth of Oregon-side improvements included in the Locally Preferred Alternative, much less provide any money for mitigation and enhancement funding for affected communities—unless Oregon ups its own financial contribution far beyond \$450 million. That's not acceptable; and the Oregon legislature should say so.

### **3. Proposed Oregon Conditions for Funding**

Washington's legislature has made its priorities clear: as soon as they have a project phase that builds "a bridge and landings", they're done. This region should ask the Oregon legislature to be just as clear about Oregon's priorities and conditions:

- Any initial phase of the CRC must include transit, active transportation, and the Oregon-side road improvements;
- A community enhancement and mitigation fund is an essential element of project funding;
- As noted above, both the I-5 and I-205 crossings must be tolled, to ensure sufficient tolling revenue and to prevent major traffic disruption on the Oregon side; and
- Oregon's contribution is capped at \$450 million. Any funding shortfalls or project cost over-runs must be covered by toll revenue, not by Oregon taxpayers.

It is likely that the conditions imposed on the CRC by the 2012 Washington legislature are simply an opening gambit in negotiations to determine the project's scope and the relative contributions to be made by the two states. The final position Washington takes

may be more reasonable. However, for that to happen Oregon will need to press its case now. If our legislature fails to impose its own deal terms as part of a funding approval in 2013, ODOT will find itself outgunned in any future agency-to-agency negotiations with WSDOT over cost responsibility or minimum project elements.